171

EAST RENFREWSHIRE COUNCIL

LOCAL REVIEW BODY

2 October 2024

Report by Director of Business Operations and Partnerships

REVIEW OF CASE - REVIEW/2024/05

REPLACEMENT OF REAR SINGLE STOREY EXTENSION WITH COMBINED FLAT AND PITCHED ROOF AND EXTENDED REAR DORMER

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of the report is to present the information currently available to allow a review of the decision taken by officers, in terms of the Scheme of Delegation made in terms of Section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 as amended by the Planning etc (Scotland) Act 2006 in respect of the application detailed below.

DETAILS OF APPLICATION

2.	Application type:	Further application (Ref No:- 2024/0059/TP).
	Applicant:	Mr and Mrs B and P Scholarios Brown
	Proposal:	Replacement of rear single storey extension with combined flat and pitched roof and extended rear dormer
	Location:	68 Montgomery Street, Eaglesham, G76 0AU
	Council Area/Ward:	Newton Mearns South and Eaglesham (Ward 5).

REASON FOR REQUESTING REVIEW

3. The applicant has requested a review on the grounds that the Council's Appointed Officer refused the application.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4. The Local Review Body is asked to:-
 - (a) consider whether it has sufficient information to allow it to proceed to determine the review without further procedure and, if so, that:-
 - (i) it proceeds to determine whether the decision taken in respect of the application under review should be upheld, reversed or varied; and
 - (ii) in the event that the decision is reversed or varied, the reasons and the detailed conditions to be attached to the decision letter are agreed; or
 - (b) that in the event that further procedure is required to allow it to determine the review, consider:-

- (i) what further information is required, which parties are to be asked to provide the information and the date by which this is to be provided; and/or;
- (ii) what procedure or combination of procedures are to be followed in determining the review.

BACKGROUND

5. At the meeting of the Council on 29 April 2009, consideration was given to a report by the Director of Environment seeking the adoption of a new Scheme of Delegation in terms of the new Section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997, subject to approval of the scheme by Scottish Ministers.

6. The report provided details of the new hierarchy of developments that took effect from 6 April 2009 explaining that the Scheme of Delegation related to those applications within the "local development" category as set out in the Town and Country Planning (Hierarchy of Development) (Scotland) Regulations 2009, but would in future be determined by an "appointed officer". In the Council's case this would be either the Director of Environment or the Head of Roads, Planning and Transportation Service now designated the Head of Environment (Operations).

7. The report highlighted that historically appeals against planning decisions were dealt with by Scottish Ministers. However, following the introduction of the new planning provisions with came into effect on 3 August 2009 all appeals against decisions made in respect of local developments under delegated powers would be heard by a Local Review Body. The Local Review Body would also deal with cases where the appointed officer had failed to determine an application within two months from the date it was lodged.

NOTICE OF REVIEW – STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REQUIRING THE REVIEW

8. The applicant in submitting the review has stated the reasons for requiring the review of the determination of the application. A copy of the applicant's Notice of Review and Statement of Reasons including appeal statement is attached as Appendix 4.

9. The applicant is entitled to state a preference for the procedure (or combination of procedures) to be followed by the Local Review Body in the determination of the review and has detailed in their opinion that this review can continue to conclusion based on the assessment of the review documents only, with no further procedure.

10. The Local Review Body is not bound to accede to the applicant's request as to how it will determine the review and will itself decide what procedure will be used in this regard.

11. At the meeting of the Local Review Body on 10 August 2016, it was decided that the Local Review Body would carry out unaccompanied site inspections for every review case it received prior to the cases being given initial consideration at a meeting of the Local Review Body.

12. In accordance with the above decision, the Local Review Body will carry out an unaccompanied site inspection on Wednesday, 7 August 2024 before the meeting of the Local Review Body which begins at 2.30pm.

INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO ALLOW REVIEW OF APPLICATION

13. Section 43B of the Planning etc (Scotland) Act 2006 restricts the ability of parties to introduce new material at the review stage. The Local Review Body is advised that the focus of the review should, therefore, be on the material which was before the officer who dealt with the application under the Scheme of Delegation.

14. The information detailed below is appended to this report to assist the Local Review Body in carrying out the review of the decision taken by the Appointed Officer:-

- (a) Application for planning permission Appendix 1 (Pages 175 to 180);
- (b) Report of Handling by the planning officer under the Scheme of Delegation Appendix 2 (Pages 181 to 196);
- (c) Decision notice and reasons for refusal Appendix 3 (Pages 197 to 200); and
- (d) A copy of the applicant's Notice of Review and Statement of Reasons Appendix 4 (Pages 201 to 206).

15. The applicant has also submitted the drawings listed below and these are attached as Appendix 5 (Pages 207 to 217).

- (a) Existing Floor Plans, Elevation and Section;
- (b) Roof Plan as Existing;
- (c) Existing and Proposed Side Elevations;
- (d) Site Plan, Existing and Proposed;
- (e) Location Plan;
- (f) Proposed Floor Plans, Elevation and Section;
- (g) Ground Floor Plan as Proposed;
- (h) First Floor Plan as Proposed;
- (i) Roof Plan as Proposed; and
- (j) Front Elevation and Proposed Roof Plan.

16. At the meeting of the Local Review Body held on 7 August 2024, it was agreed to continue consideration of this item to allow members to view the decision of the Scottish Government Reporter in this case. That decision has now been received and is attached as Appendix 6 to the report, along with the applicant's comments on the decision (Pages 218 to 224).

17. All the documents referred to in this report can be viewed online on the Council's website at <u>www.eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk</u>.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- **17.** The Local Review Body is asked to:-
 - (a) consider whether it has sufficient information to allow it to proceed to determine the review without further procedure and, if so, that:-
 - (i) it proceeds to determine whether the decisions taken in respect of the application under review should be upheld, reversed or varied; and
 - (ii) in the event that the decision is reversed or varied, the reasons and the detailed conditions to be attached to the decision letter are agreed; or
 - (b) In the event that further procedure is required to allow it to determine the review, consider:-
 - what further information is required, which parties are to be asked to provide the information and the date by which this is to be provided; and/or;
 - (ii) what procedure or combination of procedures are to be followed in determining the review.

Report Author: John Burke

Director – Louise Pringle, Director of Business Operations and Partnerships

John Burke, Democratic Services Officer e-mail: john.burke@eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk Tel: 0141 577 3026

Date:- 24 September 2024

APPENDIX 1

APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION



Agent Details					
Please enter Agent detail	S				
Company/Organisation:	Jewitt and Wilkie Architects Ltd				
Ref. Number:	You must enter a Building Name or Number, or both: *				
First Name: *	Fiona	Building Name:			
Last Name: *	Ballingham	Building Number:	38		
Telephone Number: *	0141 352 6929	Address 1 (Street): *	New City Road		
Extension Number:		Address 2:			
Mobile Number:		Town/City: *	Glasgow		
Fax Number:		Country: *	Scotland		
		Postcode: *	G4 9JT		
Email Address: *	fiona@jawarchitects.co.uk				
	ual or an organisation/corporate entity? * nisation/Corporate entity				
Applicant Det	ails				
Please enter Applicant de	etails				
Title:	Other	You must enter a Bu	ilding Name or Number, or both: *		
Other Title:	Mr and Mrs	Building Name:			
First Name: *	S and P	Building Number:	68		
Last Name: *	Scholarios Brown	Address 1 (Street): *	Montgomery Street		
Company/Organisation		Address 2:			
Telephone Number: *		Town/City: *	Eaglesham		
Extension Number:		Country: *	Scotland		
Mobile Number:		Postcode: *	G76 0AU		
Fax Number:					
Email Address: *	Email Address: * soteris1@gmail.com				

Site Address Details						
Planning Authority:	East Renfrewshire Council					
Full postal address of the	site (including postcode where availab	le):				
Address 1:	68 MONTGOMERY STREET					
Address 2:	EAGLESHAM					
Address 3:						
Address 4:						
Address 5:						
Town/City/Settlement:	GLASGOW					
Post Code:	G76 0AU					
Please identify/describe t	he location of the site or sites					
	651685		256974			
Northing		Easting	200014			
Pre-Application	on Discussion					
Have you discussed your	proposal with the planning authority? *		X Yes 🗌 No			
Pre-Application	on Discussion Details	s Cont.				
	adhaala siisa 20 *					
In what format was the fe	-	Email				
-	·		provided this feedback. If a processing			
Please provide a description of the feedback you were given and the name of the officer who provided this feedback. If a processing agreement [note 1] is currently in place or if you are currently discussing a processing agreement with the planning authority, please provide details of this. (This will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently.) * (max 500 characters)						
Pre-application discussion with Margaret Anne McGleish.						
Title:		Other title:				
First Name:						
Correspondence Referen Number:		Date (dd/mm/yyyy):				
Note 1. A Processing agreement involves setting out the key stages involved in determining a planning application, identifying what information is required and from whom and setting timescales for the delivery of various stages of the process.						

Trees			
Are there any tre	es on or adjacent to the application site? *	X Yes	□ No
If yes, please ma any are to be cut	rk on your drawings any trees, known protected trees and their canopy spread close to the p back or felled.	proposal site	and indicate
Access a	nd Parking		
Are you proposin	g a new or altered vehicle access to or from a public road? *	C Yes	X No
	scribe and show on your drawings the position of any existing, altered or new access points, make. You should also show existing footpaths and note if there will be any impact on these		the changes
Planning	Service Employee/Elected Member Interest		
	or the applicant's spouse/partner, either a member of staff within the planning service or an of the planning authority? *	☐ Yes	X No
Certificat	es and Notices		
	ND NOTICE UNDER REGULATION 15 – TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPN SCOTLAND) REGULATION 2013	1ENT MANA	GEMENT
	nust be completed and submitted along with the application form. This is most usually Certificitie tificate C or Certificate E.	cate A, Form	n 1,
Are you/the appli	cant the sole owner of ALL the land? *	X Yes	□ No
Is any of the land	part of an agricultural holding? *	🗌 Yes	X No
Certificat	e Required		
The following Lar	nd Ownership Certificate is required to complete this section of the proposal:		
Certificate A			
Certificate and N	otice under Regulation 15 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Pr	ocedure) (S	cotland)
Regulations 2013 Certificate A	3		
I hereby certify th	nat –		
(1) - No person o lessee under a le	ther than myself/the applicant was an owner (Any person who, in respect of any part of the l base thereof of which not less than 7 years remain unexpired.) of any part of the land to whic the period of 21 days ending with the date of the accompanying application.	and, is the o h the applica	owner or is the ation relates a
(2) - None of the	land to which the application relates constitutes or forms part of an agricultural holding		
Signed:	Fiona Ballingham		
On behalf of:	Mr and Mrs S and P Scholarios Brown		

Date:

08/02/2024

Please tick here to certify this Certificate. *

Chacklist	Application	for	Householder	۸n	nlication
Checklist –	Application	101	Householder	АΡ	plication

Please take a few moments to complete the following checklist in order to ensure that you have provided all the necessary information in support of your application. Failure to submit sufficient information with your application may result in your application being deemed invalid. The planning authority will not start processing your application until it is valid.					
a) Have you provided a writte	en description of the development to which it relates?. *	X Yes 🗌 No			
	stal address of the land to which the development relates, or if the land in question cription of the location of the land? *	X Yes 🗌 No			
c) Have you provided the nan applicant, the name and addr	ne and address of the applicant and, where an agent is acting on behalf of the ress of that agent.? *	X Yes 🗌 No			
d) Have you provided a locati land in relation to the locality and be drawn to an identified	ion plan sufficient to identify the land to which it relates showing the situation of the and in particular in relation to neighbouring land? *. This should have a north point scale.	Yes 🗌 No			
e) Have you provided a certifi	icate of ownership? *	X Yes 🗌 No			
f) Have you provided the fee	payable under the Fees Regulations? *	🗙 Yes 🗌 No			
g) Have you provided any oth	ner plans as necessary? *	🗙 Yes 🗌 No			
Continued on the next page					
A copy of the other plans and (two must be selected). *	l drawings or information necessary to describe the proposals				
You can attach these electron	nic documents later in the process.				
Existing and Proposed e	levations.				
Existing and proposed fle	oor plans.				
Cross sections.					
Site layout plan/Block pla	ans (including access).				
Roof plan.					
Photographs and/or photographs	tomontages.				
-	nple a tree survey or habitat survey may be needed. In some instances you about the structural condition of the existing house or outbuilding.	Yes X No			
A Supporting Statement – you may wish to provide additional background information or justification for your Proposal. This can be helpful and you should provide this in a single statement. This can be combined with a Design Statement if required. *					
You must submit a fee with your application. Your application will not be able to be validated until the appropriate fee has been Received by the planning authority.					
Declare – For Householder Application					
I, the applicant/agent certify tl Plans/drawings and additiona	hat this is an application for planning permission as described in this form and the al information.	accompanying			
Declaration Name:	Ms Fiona Ballingham				
Declaration Date:	08/02/2024				

APPENDIX 2

REPORT OF HANDLING

REPORT OF HANDLING

Reference: 2024/0059/TP		Date Registered: 9th February 2024		
Application Type: Full	Application Type: Full Planning Permission		This application is a Local Development	
Ward: 5 -Newton Mearns South And Co-ordinates: 256974/:651685 Applicant/Agent: Applicant: Mr and Mrs S and P Scholario 68 Montgomery Street Eaglesham Scotland G76 0AU		Agent:		-
Location:	Proposed replacement of rea pitched roof, and rear extend 68 Montgomery Street Eaglesham East Renfrewshire G76 0AU	-	rey extens	sion with combined flat and
CONSULTATIONS/CO	OMMENTS: None.			
PUBLICITY:				
01.03.2024	Evening Times	Expiry date	22.03.202	24
SITE NOTICES:				
Development within a Date posted 01.03.2024 Conservation Area		Expiry date	22.03.20	24
SITE HISTORY:				
2015/0442/LBC	Erection of rear porch (listed building consent)	Approved to Conditio	•	25.08.2015
2015/0443/TP	Erection of rear porch	Approved to Conditio	-	10.08.2015
2022/0663/TP	Single storey replacement rear extension with pitched roof (including solar panels and flue) and	Withdrawr	1	28.03.2023

	rear extended dormer. Erection of raised patio, installation of rooflight and installation of window.		
2022/0697/LBC	Single storey replacement rear extension with pitched roof (including solar panels and flue) and rear extended dormer. Erection of raised patio, installation of rooflight and installation of window. Internal alterations.	Withdrawn	28.03.2023
2023/0562/TP	Replacement rear extension with single storey and part one and a half storey rear extension, enlargement of dormer window to rear, installation of roof lights, flue and supported canopy to rear. Installation of decking.	Approved Subject to Conditions	11.01.2024
2023/0563/LBC	Replacement rear extension with single storey and part one and a half storey rear extension, enlargement of dormer window to rear, installation of roof lights, flue and supported canopy to rear. Installation of decking.	Approved Subject to Conditions	11.01.2024

REPRESENTATIONS: No representations have been received.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN & GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE: See Appendix 1

SUPPORTING REPORTS: No reports have been submitted for consideration as part of this application.

ASSESSMENT:

The application site is a single-storey Category B-Listed semi-detached cottage situated within the Eaglesham Conservation Area. The front elevation of the sandstone dwelling has white painted timber windows with green painted window surrounds and cream painted render. The rear of the property has had various alterations over the years including a single storey L-shaped extension

and a large rear timber-clad dormer window. The windows and doors of the extension are brown UPVC with cream painted render walls. The property has a slate roof and is located within extensive garden grounds enclosed by a mixture of a high level stone wall, fencing and mature hedging.

The proposal has been summarised as the replacement of rear single storey extension with combined flat and pitched roof, and a rear extended dormer. The proposal however also involves various alterations, including changing the fenestration of the westmost section of the existing single storey rear extension, which is being retained, from windows to sliding patio doors. The rear dormer window which is being increased in depth and width will extend out over this single storey extension to create two larger sized bedrooms on the upper floor as well as creating a new snug and relocating the bathroom. There will also be an upper storey extension of the northernmost section of the existing extension to create an upper floor en-suite bathroom as well as a vaulted living room with an adjacent externally supported canopy. There will be a new single-storey extension of solar panels. The proposal also involves various internal alterations, as well as new decking, the installation of a flue and external painting to the rear. Materials being proposed are a mixture of traditional and contemporary including natural slate, white painted render, and cedral cladding, although colour of windows and doors have yet to be confirmed.

It should be noted that the proposal currently being assessed is a revised design of a planning application recently approved (2023/0562/TP). The assessment of the current proposal will therefore concentrate primarily on the elements of the original design that have been changed, namely:

- The increased rear dormer width, depth and height with introduction of 3no. Juliette balconies, and
- Changes to the roof pitch, height and design of the rear upper floor extension.

A related listed building consent application, 2024/0060/LBC, for the proposal has also been submitted for consideration.

The proposal has been considered against the Development Plan which consists of the National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) and East Renfrewshire Council Local Development Plan 2 (LDP2). Due to the scale and nature of the proposal, Policies 7 and 16 of the NPF4, and Policies D1, D1.1, D14, D15 and D16 of the LDP2 are considered to be the most relevant.

Policy 7 of NPF 4 states that LDPs should support the sustainable management of the historic environment. The policy aims to protect and enhance historic environment assets and places, and to enable positive change as a catalyst for the regeneration of places. Development proposals for the re-use, alteration or extension of a listed building will only be supported where they will preserve its character, special architectural or historic interest and setting.

Policy 16 of NPF4 includes, inter alia, that proposals should not have a detrimental impact on the character or environmental quality of the home and the surrounding area in terms of size, design and materials or have a detrimental effect on the neighbouring properties in terms of physical impact, overshadowing or overlooking.

In terms of LDP2, Policies D1 and D1.1 include, inter alia, that the proposal should not result in a significant loss of character or amenity to the surrounding area, should complement the scale and character of the existing building and neighbouring properties and their setting in terms of style, form and materials.

Through Policies D14, D15 and D16, the council will seek to positively manage the historic built environment through engagement to ensure that heritage assets are safeguarded, preserved and

enhanced and have a sustainable future for the benefit of future generations. The layout, design, materials, scale, siting and use of any development affecting a listed building shall be appropriate to the character and appearance of the conservation area, the listed building and its setting.

The front elevation of this B-listed property remains largely untouched, however, internally and to the rear, there have been various alterations over the years that have resulted in the removal of various internal walls and almost all of the original rear cottage wall to create an extended ground floor living area. As a result, these elements of the listed property have already been lost. That notwithstanding, the existing rear dormer along with the existing flat roofed single storey extension, still retains the legibility of the architectural and historic character of this B-Listed cottage within the Eaglesham Conservation Area.

As stated above, this assessment will concentrate on the proposed changed elements to the recently approved planning application (2023/0562/TP).

With regards to the increased dormer, this involves extending the depth of the existing dormer window by approximately 2metres, which is 1.5metres deeper than the recently approved design. This pushes the dormer window beyond the edge of the existing roof plane and out over the existing single storey extension for almost the entire width of the existing roof. The width of the dormer has also been extended further on both sides and leaves only 0.62metres width of the existing original slate cottage roof on either side compared to 1.2metres and 0.68metres width of the previous approval. It is also 0.5metres greater in overall height and is in line with the roof ridge rather than being set down as in the approved design, such that the fixed rooflights will project beyond the existing cottage roof ridge. The dormer also introduces 3no. Juliette balconies in front of double-glazed door units at 1st floor level in place of two previously approved windows. The proposed materials remain the same and are acceptable.

Overall the increased sized dormer will remove 75% of the existing roof plane. The most recent approval removed approximately 50% of the existing roof plane however its design, including small non-traditional shaped windows and the use of non-traditional materials, still retained the legibility of the character of the existing cottage via the remaining roof plane. The introduction of the double-glazed doors and Juliette balconies introduce further non-traditional elements that would detract from the property's historic character and would increase the potential for overlooking as the doors and balconies are now over the extended part of the property rather than smaller windows in a dormer that is set back within the existing roof plane. This is thereby likely to adversely impact on the privacy of residents of neighbouring properties.

It is considered that the increased scale and massing of the proposed dormer dominates the existing roof to an unacceptable level that would result in a significant and unacceptable loss of the original roof profile thereby removing the legibility of the character of the existing cottage. Along with the introduction of double glazed doors and Juliette balconies it would introduce further non-traditional elements and adversely impact on privacy, which together would be to the detriment of the historical and architectural character of the B-Listed cottage.

The design of the proposed upper floor of the single storey rear extension changes the roof profile so that it is now equally balanced. It also increases the roof pitch from 42degrees to 50 degrees with a slight increase in the overall height, although it is now in line with the roof ridge rather than being set down as in the previously approved design. The proposed materials remain the same and are acceptable. The proposed change in the roof profile and pitch is considered acceptable however the increase in height, albeit minimal, removes the legibility of the existing roof ridge and is not considered to be acceptable.

Whilst there are only two main changes being proposed, i.e. an increased dormer along with an altered roof pitch and design over the existing single storey extension, however, when taken together these changes merge to create one large extended upper floor where the massing is out

Material considerations

In respect of this assessment, previous applications on the site are considered to be material considerations. The application site has been subject to several planning applications of varying designs over the past year which involved numerous and lengthy discussions between the applicant/agent, the Planning Authority and Historic Environment Scotland. It should be noted that the changed elements being considered in this application are essentially the re-introduction of the various design elements that had been removed by the applicant/agent from various previous versions of application submissions, following these discussions, because they were deemed unacceptable.

The size and scale/massing of the proposed extended dormer in conjunction with the design of the upper floor extension in the previous planning application approval (2023/0562/TP) was considered to be the maximum accommodation that could be achieved without compromising the integrity and legibility of the historical and architectural character of the B-Listed Cottage whilst still allowing the cottage to become a viable property for future generations. This was made clear to the applicant/agent during these discussions and no further pre-application discussions took place between the applicant/agent and the planning authority since this approval, even although it has been indicated as such on the application form. It was therefore considered that no further meaningful discussions could take place over these same points that had not already been extensively discussed, and as there has been no intervening change in planning policy the position of the planning authority remains the same.

Taking the above matters into account, it is considered that although there are certain elements of the proposal that are acceptable and have already been approved in the previous application (2023/0562/TP) the pertinent changes being made in this application regarding the rear dormer window in conjunction with the upper floor extension on the eastmost side, makes the entire proposed development contrary to the development plan and would significantly adversely impact the architectural and historical character and amenity of the listed building, its setting and surrounding conservation area. There are no material considerations that indicate the application should be approved.

It is therefore recommended that the application is refused.

PLANNING OBLIGATIONS: None.

RECOMMENDATION: Refuse

REASON(S):

1. The proposal is contrary to Policy 7 of the National Planning Framework 4 as the proposed development fails to preserve the existing historical and architectural character of the B-Listed cottage by introducing a dormer and extension that is out of scale and dominates the roof plane to the detriment of the listed property within the Eaglesham Conservation Area.

- 2. The proposal is contrary to Policy 16 of the National Planning Framework 4 as the proposed development has a detrimental impact on the character of the existing home through the out-of-scale combination of the extended dormer and upper floor extension. It will also have a detrimental impact on the privacy and amenity of the neighbouring properties due to the introduction of 3 separate double glazing upper floor doors with Juliette balconies in the extended dormer.
- 3. The proposal is contrary to Policies D1 and D1.1 of the Adopted East Renfrewshire Local Development Plan 2 as the proposed development will result in a detrimental impact on the existing character of the property as it is of a scale and massing that is not in-keeping with the existing single storey cottage.
- 4. The proposal is contrary to Policies D14, D15 and D16 of the Adopted East Renfrewshire Local Development Plan 2 as the proposed development will result in a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the listed building within the Conservation Area due to the inappropriate layout, design and scale of the development.

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

None.

ADDED VALUE:

None

BACKGROUND PAPERS:

Further information on background papers can be obtained from Ms Margaret McGleish on 0141 577 3001.

Ref. No.: 2024/0059/TP (MAMC)

DATE: 18th April 2024

DIRECTOR OF ENVIRONMENT

Reference: 2024/0059/TP - Appendix 1

DEVELOPMENT PLAN:

Adopted East Renfrewshire Local Development Plan 2

Policy D1

Placemaking and Design

Proposals for development within the urban and rural areas should be well designed, sympathetic to the local area and demonstrate that the following criteria have been considered, and, where appropriate, met. Proposals will be assessed against the 6 qualities of a successful place as outlined in SPP, Designing Streets and the Placemaking and Design Supplementary Guidance.

- 1. The development should not result in a significant loss of character or amenity to the surrounding area;
- 2. The proposal should be appropriate to its location, be high quality and of a size, scale, height, massing and density and layout that is in keeping with the buildings in the locality or appropriate to the existing building and should respect local architecture, building form and design;
- 3. Respect existing building lines and heights of the locality;
- 4. Create a well-defined structure of streets, public spaces and buildings;
- 5. Ensure the use of high quality sustainable and durable materials, colours and finishes that complement existing development and buildings in the locality;
- 6. Respond to and complement site topography and not impact adversely upon the green belt and landscape character and setting, green networks, features of historic interest, landmarks, vistas,skylines and key gateways. Existing buildings and natural features of suitable quality, should be retained and sensitively integrated into proposals including greenspace, trees and hedgerows;
- 7. Boundary treatment and landscaping should create a distinctive edge and gateway to the development and reflect local character;
- 8. Promote permeable and legible places through a clear sustainable movement hierarchy favouring walking, then cycling, public transport, then the private car as forms of movement;
- 9. Demonstrate connectivity through the site and to surrounding spaces via a network of safe, direct, attractive and coherent walking and cycling routes. These must be suitable for all age groups, and levels of agility and mobility to allow for ease of movement from place to place;
- 10. Demonstrate that safe and functional pedestrian, cycle and vehicular access, and parking facilities and infrastructure, including for disabled and visitor parking, is provided in accordance with the Council's Roads Development Guide. Where appropriate, proposals will be required to provide secure and accessible shelters, lockers, showers and seating and be designed to meet the needs of all users. Cycle parking and facilities should be located in close proximity to the entrances of all buildings to provide convenience and choice for users;
- 11. Incorporate integrated and enhance existing green infrastructure assets, such as landscaping, trees and greenspace, water management and SUDs including access and prioritise links to the wider green network as an integral part of the design process from the outset, in accordance with Policies D4 D6. New green infrastructure must be designed to protect and enhance the habitat and biodiversity of the area and demonstrate a net gain;
- 12. Unless justified, there will be a eneral presumption against landraising. Where there is a justifiable reason for landraising, proposals must have regard to the scale and visual impact of the resultant changes to the local landscape and amenity. Proposals that adversely impact upon the visual and physical connections through the site and to the surrounding areas will be resisted;
- 13. Backland development should be avoided;
- 14. Provide safe, secure and welcoming places with buildings and spaces, including open spaces, play areas and landscaping, designed and positioned to reduce the scope for

anti-social behaviour and fear of crime, improve natural surveillance, passive overlooking, security and street activity;

- 15. The amenity of residents, occupants and users of neighbouring existing and new buildings and spaces should not be adversely affected by unreasonably restricting their sunlight or privacy. Additional guidance on this issue is available in the Daylight and Sunlight Design Guide Supplementary Guidance;
- 16. Development should minimise the extent of light pollution caused by street and communal lighting and any floodlighting associated with the proposal;
- 17. The amenity of residents, occupants and users of neighbouring existing and new buildings and spaces should not be adversely affected by noise, dust, pollution and smell or poor air quality;
- 18. Ensure buildings and spaces are future proof designed to be easily adaptable and flexible to respond to changing social, environmental, technological, digital and economic conditions;
- 19. Incorporate provision for the recycling, storage, collection and composting of waste materials; and
- 20. Incorporate the use of sustainable design and construction methods and materials in the layout and design to support a low carbon economy.

Proposals must meet the requirements of any development brief prepared by the Council for an allocated site.

Further detailed guidance and information will be set out in the Placemaking and Design Supplementary Guidance, Householder Design Supplementary Guidance and the Daylight and Sunlight Design Supplementary Guidance.

Policy D1.1

Extensions and Alterations to Existing Buildings for Residential Purposes Proposals will be assessed against the following criteria:

- 1. The development should not result in a significant loss of character or amenity to the surrounding area;
- 2. Should complement the scale and character of the existing building, neighbouring properties and their setting, particularly in terms of style, form and materials;
- 3. The size, scale and height of any development must be appropriate to and not adversely impact or dominate the existing building;
- 4. Should not create an unbroken or terraced appearance;
- 5. Where additional bedrooms are proposed or a garage/driveway is being converted to another use other than for the parking of a vehicle, proposals will be required to provide parking in accordance with the Council's Roads Development Guide; and
- 6. Should avoid over-development of the site by major loss of existing front and rear garden space. No more than 50% of the rear garden should be occupied by the development.

Further detailed information and guidance will be set out in the Householder Design Guide Supplementary Guidance.

Policy D14

Management and Protection of the Built Heritage

The Council will seek to positively manage the historic built environment through engagement with landowners and other organisations to ensure that heritage assets are safeguarded, preserved and enhanced, have appropriate viable uses and have a sustainable future for the benefit of future generations. Development likely to adversely affect these assets will not be supported.

All proposals should foster innovation and creativity that is sensitive and enhances the significance of heritage assets in terms of architectural design, detailing, scale, massing and use of materials.

Proposals involving the sensitive introduction of energy efficiency measures and/or microrenewables installations to listed buildings and in conservation areas will be supported, where the proposals ensure that the character and appearance of the listed building, conservation area and their settings are preserved or enhanced.

Further guidance and information will be set out in the Placemaking and Design Supplementary Guidance.

Policy D15

Listed Buildings

The layout, design, materials, scale, siting and use of any development affecting a listed building shall be appropriate to the character and appearance of the listed building and its setting.

There is a presumption against demolition or other works that adversely affect the special interest of a listed building or its setting.

No listed building should be demolished unless it can be clearly demonstrated that:

the building is not of special interest; or

the building is incapable of repair; or

the demolition of the building is essential to delivering significant benefits to economic growth or the wider community; or

the repair of the building is not economically viable and that it has been marketed, at a price reflecting its location and condition, to potential restoring purchasers.

To enable features to be recorded, Historic Environment Scotland shall be formally notified of all proposals where consent is granted to demolish listed buildings.

Enabling development may be acceptable where it can be clearly shown to be the only means of preventing the loss of the asset and securing its long-term future. Any development should be the minimum necessary to achieve these aims. The resultant development should be designed and sited carefully to preserve or enhance the character and setting of the historic asset.

Proposals that conserve and enhance assets on the Buildings at Risk register will be encouraged.

Policy D16

Conservation Areas

Development and demolition within a conservation area as listed in Schedule 10 or affecting its setting shall preserve or enhance its character and be consistent with any relevant conservation area appraisal or management plan that may have been prepared for the area.

The design, materials, scale and siting of any development shall be appropriate to the character of the conservation area and its setting. Trees which are considered by the planning authority to contribute to character and appearance shall be retained.

When considering the demolition of any unlisted building, within a conservation area, no building should be demolished unless it can be clearly demonstrated that:

The building is of little townscape value and does not contribute to the character of the conservation area; or

The repair of the building is not economically viable; or

The form or location of the building makes its re-use extremely difficult; and

The replacement scheme will preserve and enhance the special character of the conservation area.

A detailed planning application for the replacement scheme would require to be submitted. Demolition shall not begin until evidence is given of contracts let for an approved replacement development.

National Planning Framework 4

Policy 7

Historic assets and places

- a) Development proposals with a potentially significant impact on historic assets or places will be accompanied by an assessment which is based on an understanding of the cultural significance of the historic asset and/or place. The assessment should identify the likely visual or physical impact of any proposals for change, including cumulative effects and provide a sound basis for managing the impacts of change. Proposals should also be informed by national policy and guidance on managing change in the historic environment, and information held within Historic Environment Records.
- b) Development proposals for the demolition of listed buildings will not be supported unless it has been demonstrated that there are exceptional circumstances and that all reasonable efforts have been made to retain, reuse and/or adapt the listed building. Considerations include whether the:
- i. building is no longer of special interest;
- ii. building is incapable of physical repair and re-use as verified through a detailed structural condition survey report;
- iii. repair of the building is not economically viable and there has been adequate marketing for existing and/or new uses at a price reflecting its location and condition for a reasonable period to attract interest from potential restoring purchasers; or
- iv. demolition of the building is essential to delivering significant benefits to economic growth or the wider community.
- c) Development proposals for the reuse, alteration or extension of a listed building will only

be supported where they will preserve its character, special architectural or historic interest and setting. Development proposals affecting the setting of a listed building should preserve its character, and its special architectural or historic interest.

d) Development proposals in or affecting conservation areas will only be supported where the character and appearance of the conservation area and its setting is preserved or enhanced.

Relevant considerations include the:

- i. architectural and historic character of the area;
- ii. existing density, built form and layout; and
- iii. context and siting, quality of design and suitable materials.
- e) Development proposals in conservation areas will ensure that existing natural and built features which contribute to the character of the conservation area and its setting, including structures, boundary walls, railings, trees and hedges, are retained.
- f) Demolition of buildings in a conservation area which make a positive contribution to its character will only be supported where it has been demonstrated that:
- i. reasonable efforts have been made to retain, repair and reuse the building;
- ii. the building is of little townscape value;
- iii. the structural condition of the building prevents its retention at a reasonable cost; or
- iv. the form or location of the building makes its reuse extremely difficult.
- g) Where demolition within a conservation area is to be followed by redevelopment, consent to demolish will only be supported when an acceptable design, layout and materials are being used for the replacement development.
- h) Development proposals affecting scheduled monuments will only be supported where:
- i. direct impacts on the scheduled monument are avoided;
- ii. significant adverse impacts on the integrity of the setting of a scheduled monument are avoided; or
- iii. exceptional circumstances have been demonstrated to justify the impact on a scheduled monument and its setting and impacts on the monument or its setting have been minimised.
- Development proposals affecting nationally important Gardens and Designed Landscapes will be supported where they protect, preserve or enhance their cultural significance, character and integrity and where proposals will not significantly impact on important views to, from and within the site, or its setting.
- j) Development proposals affecting nationally important Historic Battlefields will only be supported where they protect and, where appropriate, enhance their cultural significance, key landscape characteristics, physical remains and special qualities.
- bevelopment proposals at the coast edge or that extend offshore will only be supported where proposals do not significantly hinder the preservation objectives of Historic Marine Protected Areas.
- I) Development proposals affecting a World Heritage Site or its setting will only be supported where their Outstanding Universal Value is protected and preserved.

- m) Development proposals which sensitively repair, enhance and bring historic buildings, as identified as being at risk locally or on the national Buildings at Risk Register, back into beneficial use will be supported.
- n) Enabling development for historic environment assets or places that would otherwise be unacceptable in planning terms, will only be supported when it has been demonstrated that the enabling development proposed is:
- i. essential to secure the future of an historic environment asset or place which is at risk of serious deterioration or loss; and
- the minimum necessary to secure the restoration, adaptation and long-term future of the historic environment asset or place.
 The beneficial outcomes for the historic environment asset or place should be secured early in the phasing of the development, and will be ensured through the use of conditions and/or legal agreements.
- o) Non-designated historic environment assets, places and their setting should be protected and preserved in situ wherever feasible. Where there is potential for non-designated buried archaeological remains to exist below a site, developers will provide an evaluation of the archaeological resource at an early stage so that planning authorities can assess impacts. Historic buildings may also have archaeological significance which is not understood and may require assessment

Where impacts cannot be avoided they should be minimised. Where it has been demonstrated that avoidance or retention is not possible, excavation, recording, analysis, archiving, publication and activities to provide public benefit may be required through the use of conditions or legal/planning obligations. When new archaeological discoveries are made during the course of development works, they must be reported to the planning authority to enable agreement on appropriate inspection, recording and mitigation measures.

Policy 16

Quality homes

- a) Development proposals for new homes on land allocated for housing in LDPs will be supported.
- b) Development proposals that include 50 or more homes, and smaller developments if required by local policy or guidance, should be accompanied by a Statement of Community Benefit. The statement will explain the contribution of the proposed development to:
- i. meeting local housing requirements, including affordable homes;
- ii. providing or enhancing local infrastructure, facilities and services; and
- iii. improving the residential amenity of the surrounding area.
- c) Development proposals for new homes that improve affordability and choice by being adaptable to changing and diverse needs, and which address identified gaps in provision, will be supported. This could include:
- i. self-provided homes;

- ii. accessible, adaptable and wheelchair accessible homes;
- iii. build to rent;
- iv. affordable homes;
- v. a range of size of homes such as those for larger families;
- vi. homes for older people, including supported accommodation, care homes and sheltered housing;
- vii. homes for people undertaking further and higher education; and
- viii. homes for other specialist groups such as service personnel.
- d) Development proposals for public or private, permanent or temporary, Gypsy/Travellers sites and family yards and Travelling Showpeople yards, including on land not specifically allocated for this use in the LDP, should be supported where a need is identified and the proposal is otherwise consistent with the plan spatial strategy and other relevant policies, including human rights and equality.
- e) Development proposals for new homes will be supported where they make provision for affordable homes to meet an identified need. Proposals for market homes will only be supported where the contribution to the provision of affordable homes on a site will be at least 25% of the total number of homes, unless the LDP sets out locations or circumstances where:
- i. a higher contribution is justified by evidence of need, or
- a lower contribution is justified, for example, by evidence of impact on viability, where proposals are small in scale, or to incentivise particular types of homes that are needed to diversify the supply, such as self-build or wheelchair accessible homes. The contribution is to be provided in accordance with local policy or guidance.
- f) Development proposals for new homes on land not allocated for housing in the LDP will only be supported in limited circumstances where:
- i. the proposal is supported by an agreed timescale for build-out; and
- ii. the proposal is otherwise consistent with the plan spatial strategy and other relevant policies including local living and 20 minute neighbourhoods;
- iii. and either:

delivery of sites is happening earlier than identified in the deliverable housing land pipeline. This will be determined by reference to two consecutive years of the Housing Land Audit evidencing substantial delivery earlier than pipeline timescales and that general trend being sustained; or

the proposal is consistent with policy on rural homes; or

the proposal is for smaller scale opportunities within an existing settlement boundary; or

the proposal is for the delivery of less than 50 affordable homes as part of a local authority supported affordable housing plan.

- g) Householder development proposals will be supported where they:
- i. do not have a detrimental impact on the character or environmental quality of the home and the surrounding area in terms of size, design and materials; and
- ii. do not have a detrimental effect on the neighbouring properties in terms of physical impact, overshadowing or overlooking.

h) Householder development proposals that provide adaptations in response to risks from a changing climate, or relating to people with health conditions that lead to particular accommodation needs will be supported.

Finalised 19/04/2024 GMc(6)



APPENDIX 3

DECISION NOTICE

198 EAST RENFREWSHIRE COUNCIL

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997 (AS AMENDED BY THE PLANNING ETC (SCOTLAND) ACT 2006) TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE) (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2013

REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

Ref. No. 2024/0059/TP

Applicant: Mr and Mrs S and P Scholarios Brown 68 Montgomery Street Eaglesham Scotland G76 0AU Agent: Fiona Ballingham 38 New City Road Glasgow Scotland G4 9JT

With reference to your application which was registered on 9th February 2024 for planning permission under the abovementioned Act and Regulations for the following development, viz:-

Proposed replacement of rear single storey extension with combined flat and pitched roof, and rear extended dormer.

at: 68 Montgomery Street Eaglesham East Renfrewshire G76 0AU

the Council in exercise of their powers under the abovementioned Act and Regulations hereby refuse planning permission for the said development.

The reason(s) for the Council's decision are:-

- 1. The proposal is contrary to Policy 7 of the National Planning Framework 4 as the proposed development fails to preserve the existing historical and architectural character of the B-Listed cottage by introducing a dormer and extension that is out of scale and dominates the roof plane to the detriment of the listed property within the Eaglesham Conservation Area.
- 2. The proposal is contrary to Policy 16 of the National Planning Framework 4 as the proposed development has a detrimental impact on the character of the existing home through the outof-scale combination of the extended dormer and upper floor extension. It will also have a detrimental impact on the privacy and amenity of the neighbouring properties due to the introduction of 3 separate double glazing upper floor doors with Juliette balconies in the extended dormer.
- 3. The proposal is contrary to Policies D1 and D1.1 of the Adopted East Renfrewshire Local Development Plan 2 as the proposed development will result in a detrimental impact on the existing character of the property as it is of a scale and massing that is not in-keeping with the existing single storey cottage.
- 4. The proposal is contrary to Policies D14, D15 and D16 of the Adopted East Renfrewshire Local Development Plan 2 as the proposed development will result in a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the listed building within the Conservation Area due to the inappropriate layout, design and scale of the development.

Dated

19th April 2024

Head of Place East Renfrewshire Council 2 Spiersbridge Way, Spiersbridge Business Park, Thornliebank, G46 8NG Tel. No. 0141 577 3001

The following drawings/plans have been refused

Plan Description	Drawing Number	Drawing Version	Date on Plan
Location Plan	L/100		
Site Plan	P/200	В	
Proposed plans and sections	P/300	1	
Plans Proposed	P/301	E	
Plans Proposed	P/302	G	
Roof Plan Proposed	P/303	F	
Elevations Existing and	P/500	С	
Proposed			
Plans and Elevations Proposed	P/501	С	

GUIDANCE NOTE FOR REFUSAL OF LOCAL DEVELOPMENTS DETERMINED UNDER DELEGATED POWERS

REVIEW BY EAST RENFREWSHIRE COUNCIL'S LOCAL REVIEW BODY

1. If the applicant is aggrieved by a decision to refuse permission (or by an approval subject to conditions), the applicant may require the planning authority to review the case under section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 within three months from the date of this notice. A Notice of Review can be submitted online at <u>www.eplanning.scotland.gov.uk</u>. Please note that beyond the content of the appeal or review forms, **you cannot normally raise new matters** in support of an appeal or review, unless you can demonstrate that the matter could not have been raised before, or that its not being raised before is a consequence of exceptional circumstances. Following submission of the notice, you will receive an acknowledgement letter informing you of the date of the Local Review Body meeting or whether further information is required.

2. If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land in accordance with Part 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

CONTACT DETAILS

East Renfrewshire Council Development Management Service 2 Spiersbridge Way, Spiersbridge Business Park, Thornliebank, General Inquiry lines 0141 577 3001 Email planning@eastrenfrewshire.gov.uk

APPENDIX 4

NOTICE OF REVIEW



Applicant Details					
Please enter Applicant de	tails				
Title:	Other	You must enter a Buil	ding Name or Number, or both: *		
Other Title:	Mr and Mrs	Building Name:			
First Name: *	S and P	Building Number:	68		
Last Name: *	Scholarios Brown	Address 1 (Street): *	Montgomery Street		
Company/Organisation		Address 2:			
Telephone Number: *		Town/City: *	Eaglesham		
Extension Number:		Country: *	Scotland		
Mobile Number:		Postcode: *	G76 0AU		
Fax Number:					
Email Address: *	soteris1@gmail.com				
Site Address	Details				
Planning Authority:	East Renfrewshire Council				
Full postal address of the	site (including postcode where available):				
Address 1:	68 MONTGOMERY STREET				
Address 2:	EAGLESHAM				
Address 3:					
Address 4:					
Address 5:					
Town/City/Settlement:	GLASGOW				
Post Code:	Post Code: G76 0AU				
Please identify/describe the location of the site or sites					
Northing	651685	Easting	256974		

	-
•	le a description of your proposal to which your review relates. The description should be the same as given in the rm, or as amended with the agreement of the planning authority: * rracters)
Proposed	eplacement of rear single storey extension with combined flat and pitched roof, and rear extended dormer.
Туре о	f Application
What type of	application did you submit to the planning authority? *
Applicat	on for planning permission (including householder application but excluding application to work minerals). on for planning permission in principle.
	application. on for approval of matters specified in conditions.
What does y	our review relate to? *
	Notice. permission with Conditions imposed. ion reached within the prescribed period (two months after validation date or any agreed extension) – deemed refusal.
Statem	ent of reasons for seeking review
must set out	te in full, why you are a seeking a review of the planning authority's decision (or failure to make a decision). Your stateme all matters you consider require to be taken into account in determining your review. If necessary this can be provided as ument in the 'Supporting Documents' section: * (Max 500 characters)
	unlikely to have a further opportunity to add to your statement of appeal at a later date, so it is essential that you produce mation you want the decision-maker to take into account.
the time exp	ot however raise any new matter which was not before the planning authority at the time it decided your application (or at y of the period of determination), unless you can demonstrate that the new matter could not have been raised before that not being raised before that time is a consequence of exceptional circumstances.
The Appli members	cant believes the decision of the Planning Authority is incorrect and wishes a review of the application by locally elected
	sed any matters which were not before the appointed officer at the time the Sed any matters which were not before the appointed officer at the time the Sed any not your application was made? *
	ould explain in the box below, why you are raising the new matter, why it was not raised with the appointed officer before on was determined and why you consider it should be considered in your review: * (Max 500 characters)

205

Please provide a list of all supporting documents, materials and evidence which you wish to submit with your notice of review and intend to rely on in support of your review. You can attach these documents electronically later in the process: * (Max 500 characters)				
Architects drawings attached: 3629/L/100 Location Plan, 3639/P/200-B Site Plan Existing and Proposed, 3629/E/300-A Existing Floor Plans Elevation and Section, 3629/P/300-I Proposed Floor Plans Elevation and Section, 3629/P/301-E Ground Floor Plan as Proposed, 3629/P/302-G First Floor Plan as Proposed, 3629/E/304-A Roof Plan as Existing, 3629/P/303-F Roof Plan as Proposed, 3629/P/500-C Existing and Proposed Side Elevations, 3629/P/501-C Front Elevation and Proposed Roof Plan.				
Application Details				
Please provide the application reference no. given to you by your planning authority for your previous application.	2024/0059/TP			
What date was the application submitted to the planning authority? *	09/02/2024			
What date was the decision issued by the planning authority? *	19/04/2024			
Review Procedure				
The Local Review Body will decide on the procedure to be used to determine your review and may at any time during the review process require that further information or representations be made to enable them to determine the review. Further information may be required by one or a combination of procedures, such as: written submissions; the holding of one or more hearing sessions and/or inspecting the land which is the subject of the review case.				
Can this review continue to a conclusion, in your opinion, based on a review of the relevant information provided by yourself and other parties only, without any further procedures? For example, written submission, hearing session, site inspection. *				
In the event that the Local Review Body appointed to consider your application decides to	inspect the site, in your opinion:			
Can the site be clearly seen from a road or public land? *				
Is it possible for the site to be accessed safely and without barriers to entry? *				
If there are reasons why you think the local Review Body would be unable to undertake an unaccompanied site inspection, please explain here. (Max 500 characters)				

Checklist – Application for Notice of Review		
Please complete the following checklist to make sure you have provided all the necessary information in support of your appeal. Failure to submit all this information may result in your appeal being deemed invalid.		
Have you provided the name	and address of the applicant?. *	X Yes 🗌 No
Have you provided the date a review? *	and reference number of the application which is the subject of this	X Yes No
	n behalf of the applicant, have you provided details of your name hether any notice or correspondence required in connection with the or the applicant? *	Yes No N/A
	ent setting out your reasons for requiring a review and by what f procedures) you wish the review to be conducted? *	X Yes 🗌 No
Note: You must state, in full, why you are seeking a review on your application. Your statement must set out all matters you consider require to be taken into account in determining your review. You may not have a further opportunity to add to your statement of review at a later date. It is therefore essential that you submit with your notice of review, all necessary information and evidence that you rely on and wish the Local Review Body to consider as part of your review.		
	ocuments, material and evidence which you intend to rely on nich are now the subject of this review *	X Yes No
Note: Where the review relates to a further application e.g. renewal of planning permission or modification, variation or removal of a planning condition or where it relates to an application for approval of matters specified in conditions, it is advisable to provide the application reference number, approved plans and decision notice (if any) from the earlier consent.		
Declare – Notice of Review		
I/We the applicant/agent certify that this is an application for review on the grounds stated.		
Declaration Name:	Ms Fiona Ballingham	
Declaration Date:	23/04/2024	

206
APPENDIX 5

PLANS/DRAWINGS







SIDE - EAST ELEVATION as Existing



SIDE - EAST ELEVATION as Proposed





210

PLANNING APPLICATION

	architects		
	38 New City Road Glasgow - G4 9 JT 0141 352 6929		
	info@jawarchitects.co.uk www.jawarchitects.co.uk		
JOB TITLE			
68 Montgomery Street, Eaglesham, G76 0AU. for Mr S Scholarios & Mrs P Brown			
DRAWING TITLE			
Existing a Elevations	nd Proposed Side S		
SCALE: 1:50	DATE: 03.11.2022		
DRAWN BY: FB	CHECKED BY: JJ		
DRAWING NO:	REV:		
3629/P/500-C			

PLANNING APPLICATION - FEB 2024 REV-C Re-design of extension roof and dormer. 07.02.2023 REV-B KK Re-design of extension roof and dormer. 17.11.2023 REV-A 14.08.2023 Pitch roof with flat lead

section and curved roof dormer added.

Jewitt and Wilkie

Do not scale. All dimensions to be checked on site prior to commencement of work

REVISIONS





Scale 1:200 @ A1



REV:

3629/P/200-B

Ordnance Survey Ukmapcentre.com

ø



DRAWING TITLE			
Location Plan	Jewitt and Wilkie architects	Do not scale. All a	timensions to be checked on r to commencement of work
		SCALE: 1:1250	DATE: 03.11.2022
JOB TITLE		DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:
68 Montgomery Street, Eaglesham, G76 0AU.	38 New City Road Glasgow - G4 9JT 0141 352 6929	DRAWING NO:	REV:
for Mr S Scholarios & Mrs P Brown	info@jawarchitects.co.uk www.jawarchitects.co.uk	3629/L/ [,]	100

MONTGOMERY STREET



213











FRONT ELEVATION as Existing



10m

FRONT ELEVATION as Proposed

5m



FRONT

+5.000

+2.500

±0.000

-0.450

ADDITIONAL APPENDIX – REPORTER'S DECISION



Planning and Environmental Appeals Division

Hadrian House, Callendar Business Park, Falkirk, FK1 1XR E: dpea@gov.scot T: 0300 244 6668



Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 Appeal Decision Notice

Decision by Andrew Fleming, a Reporter appointed by the Scottish Ministers

- Listed building consent appeal reference: LBA-220-2003
- Site address: 68 Montgomery Street, Eaglesham, G76 0AU
- Appeal by Mr and Mrs Scholarios Brown against the decision by East Renfrewshire Council
- Application for listed building consent 2024/0060/LBC dated 9 February 2024 refused by notice dated 19 April 2024
- The works proposed: proposed replacement single storey rear extension with combined flat and pitched roof, rear extended dormer and rooflights
- Date of site visit by Reporter: 24 May 2024

Date of appeal decision: 5 June 2024

Decision

I dismiss the appeal and refuse listed building consent.

Reasoning

1. I am required by section 14(2) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 to have special regard to the desirability of preserving this building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses. I am also required by section 64(1) of that act to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the area in which the building is located. The determining issues in this appeal are therefore the effect of the proposals on the category B listed building and on the character and appearance of the Eaglesham Conservation Area.

2. The appeal property is a category B listed semi-detached cottage, dating from the early nineteenth century. The front elevation of this sandstone dwelling has cream painted render, white painted timber windows with green painted window surrounds with a traditional pitched roof with slate tiles. With no openings in the pitched roof facing the street, the property has the appearance of a single storey cottage. When viewed from the street, the property's simple domestic design, use of limited building materials, small scale and low height all contribute to its appearance as a traditional single storey cottage. The rear of the property has been subject to various alterations over the years. These include a single storey L-shaped extension and a large timber-clad dormer window. These works have resulted in the removal of various internal walls and the majority of the original rear cottage wall.

3. The existing modern dormer, whilst large, sits below the ridge line of the original roof. It is also set back from the left and right edges of the roof and set back from the southern edge of the original roof. These measures and the flat roofed design of the single storey extension ensure that the form and profile of the original roof to the cottage, remain legible. The original roof is an integral part of the architectural and historic interest of the building.

4. The property is located in the Eaglesham Conservation Area which has a central green space boarded to the north and south by Polnoon Street and Montgomery Street, respectively. The predominantly residential buildings along these streets vary from typically two and a half to one storey in height. There is a strong rhythm to the streetscene due to the simple, repeated architectural styles, use of a limited range of building materials and given that the properties are positioned to the front of their respective plots, directly onto the street. The architectural rhythm and position of the buildings within their respective plots contributes to the distinctive appearance of this 'planned village'.

5. The proposals involve the replacement of the rear single storey extension with combined flat and pitched roof and a rear extended dormer. Historic Environment Scotland's document 'Managing Change in the Historic Environment: Roofs' (2010) advises that: "...The design, use of materials, construction and detailing of roofs make a substantial contribution to the character of any historic building or area." The guidance advises that when altering a roof: "...it is important to understand the impact of the works on the roof itself and the appearance of the building." With regards to dormers and rooflights, the guidance advises that these "...should be appropriately designed and located with care."

6. The rear dormer window is proposed to be increased in depth, width and height in order to create two larger sized bedrooms on the upper floor as well as creating a new snug and relocating the bathroom. This involves extending the depth of the existing dormer window by approximately 2 metres. This pushes the dormer window beyond the edge of the existing roof plane and out over the existing single storey extension for almost the entire width of the existing roof. This would leave only 0.62 metres width of the existing original slate roof on either side. The proposed dormer is also 0.5 metres greater in overall height and would be in line with the roof ridge rather than being set down such that the proposed rooflights would project beyond the existing cottage roof ridge.

7. The increased sized dormer would see the removal of 75% of the existing roof plane which on any building would be significant but particularly so on a building of this scale. The proposed replacement dormer (due to its scale and massing) would dominate the existing roof more than the existing dormer does. I consider that this increased sized dormer would have a significant impact on the profile and form of the original roof of this listed cottage.

8. The proposed dormer would incorporate 3no. doors (two of which are double doors) with Juliette balconies (safety glass barriers) in front of each of these door units. Such features are not typical of or in keeping with the roof space of such traditional buildings. The three proposed doors in the roof space are a further demonstration of the domineering impact of the proposed dormer on this rear roof plane.

9. The proposals also include an upper storey extension of the northernmost section of the existing extension to create an upper floor en-suite bathroom as well as a vaulted living room with an adjacent externally supported canopy. Historic Environment Scotland's document 'Managing Change in the Historic Environment: Extensions' (2010) advises that: "...an addition or extension should play a subordinate role. It should not dominate the original building as a result of its scale, materials, or location and should not overlay principal elevations; An extension should be modestly scaled and skillfully sited."

10. As referred to above, the extended dormer would be in line with the roof ridge rather than being set down such that the fixed rooflights would project beyond the existing cottage roof ridge. The roof ridge of the upper storey extension would also be in line with the roof ridge of the original property. In combination, these proposed measures create one large extended upper floor where the massing is out of scale to the existing cottage. Whilst I acknowledge that the previous alterations have impacted the listed building, the proposed works almost completely remove all architectural and historic elements that remain at the rear, to the detriment of this B listed cottage. I make these findings, fully aware that the council has recently granted listed building consent (ref: 2023/0563/LBC) for proposals at the property involving a design which is more subservient to the original building.

11. During the accompanied site inspection, the appellant referred me to the neighbouring property which has a one and a half storey extension to the rear of the original property. I observed that this extension has been designed so that the roof form of the original cottage remains largely intact allowing an appreciation of the original property. A like for like comparison with the proposals subject of this appeal is therefore not possible. I am also mindful that each case must be determined on its own merits.

12. As already referenced, the property is located within the Eaglesham Conservation Area. During my site inspection, I observed that there are no views to the rear of the property from the street. Given that all the works are proposed to the rear of the building, the only elements that would be visible from the street are the proposed stainless steel flu which would protrude from the roof of the rear extension and, to a lesser extent, the proposed rooflights on the rear dormer which would sit just above the ridge tiles of the roof of the cottage. I do not consider that either of these elements would be particularly noticeable in the streetscene.

13. The rear gardens of the properties within this part of the conservation area are particularly long and narrow and there are mature trees and shrubs along the boundaries between the properties to the east and west. Whilst the proposals would be visible, in part, from the rear gardens of neighbouring properties, immediately on either side of the property, wider views of the proposals from the east and west would be limited. This is due to the established vegetation along garden boundaries which would act to screen views of the proposals. The appeal property's southern boundary (which is also part of the conservation area's southern boundary) is next to agricultural fields. Given that there are no public views towards the rear of the property and given that there is only limited visibility of the property from the rear by neighbouring residents, I am satisfied that the proposed works would not negatively affect the character or appearance of the conservation area. I therefore must conclude that the character and appearance of the conservation area are preserved.

14. I have considered all matters raised but none lead me to conclude other than that the proposed works would fail to preserve the special interest of the listed building. The appeal is therefore dismissed.

Andrew Fleming Reporter

68 Montgomery Street, Eaglesham, East Renfrewshire. Jewitt & Wilkie Architects' Analysis of the Reporter's Decision. Wednesday 14th August 2024. Job Number 3629.

In an e-mail dated 13th August 2024 Jewitt & Wilkie Architects were advised by Mr. John Burke, Democratic Services Officer within the Department of Business Operations and Partnerships that:

"Under the Regulations governing the operation of LRB's the Reporter's decision is regarded as "new information" because it was not available to the case officer at the time they determined the case. The LRB members were aware of the Reporter's decision but were not able to formally consider it without first agreeing to do so. Having now agreed that the Reporter's decision can be considered as part for the information to be reviewed when determining the appeal, it is best practice to formally ask the appellant or their agent if they wish to comment on the content of the Reporter's decision.

There was no requirement on you to submit the Reporter's decision and you have not missed an opportunity to submit information but you should submit any comments you wish to make on the Reporter's decision back to me at this e-mail address as soon as possible. This ensures fairness in the process by giving all parties the opportunity to comment where new information is brought forward."

From the opportunity given to the applicant and agent to respond to the Reporter's decision that will be presented to the Local Review Board, we respond as follows:

Decision by Andrew Fleming, a Reporter Appointed by the Scottish Ministers

- Listed building consent appeal reference: LBA-220-2003
- Site address: 68 Montgomery Street, Eaglesham, G76 0AU
- Appeal by Mr and Mrs Scholarios/Brown against the decision by East Renfrewshire Council
- Application for listed building consent 2024/0060/LBC dated 9 February 2024 refused by notice dated 19 April 2024
- The works proposed: proposed replacement single storey rear extension with combined flat and pitched roof, rear extended dormer and rooflights
- Date of site visit by Reporter: 24 May 2024.
- Date of appeal decision: 5 June 2024

Reasoning

1. I am required by section 14(2) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 to have special regard to the desirability of preserving this building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses. I am also required by section 64(1) of that act to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the area in which the building is located. The determining issues in this appeal are therefore the effect of the proposals on the category B listed building and on the character and appearance of the Eaglesham Conservation Area.

Applicant/Agent Response: Due to the position of the affected area, the lack of visibility and the previous works carried out on the property it is not considered that the proposals will impact any preservation, setting or features of architectural, or historic interest. These were lost as a result of previous works.

8. The proposed dormer would incorporate 3no. doors (two of which are double doors) with Juliette balconies (safety glass barriers) in front of each of these door units. Such features are not typical of or in keeping with the roof space of such traditional buildings. The three proposed doors in the roof space are a further demonstration of the domineering impact of the proposed dormer on this rear roof plane.

Applicant/Agent Response: None of the existing rear features are in keeping with the original building.

12. As already referenced, the property is located within the Eaglesham Conservation Area. During my site inspection, I observed that there are no views to the rear of the property from the street. Given that all the works are proposed to the rear of the building, the only elements that would be visible from the street are the proposed stainless steel flu which would protrude from the roof of the rear extension and, to a lesser extent, the proposed rooflights on the rear dormer which would sit just above the ridge tiles of the roof of the cottage. I do not consider that either of these elements would be particularly noticeable in the streetscene.

Applicant/Agent Response: The Reporter confirms that the proposed alterations are not visible from the streetscape.

13. The rear gardens of the properties within this part of the conservation area are particularly long and narrow and there are mature trees and shrubs along the boundaries between the properties to the east and west. Whilst the proposals would be visible, in part, from the rear gardens of neighbouring properties, immediately on either side of the property, wider views of the proposals from the east and west would be limited. This is due to the established vegetation along garden boundaries which would act to screen views of the proposals. The appeal property's southern boundary (which is also part of the conservation area's southern boundary) is next to agricultural fields. Given that there are no public views towards the rear of the property and given that there is only limited visibility of the property from the rear by neighbouring residents, I am satisfied that the proposed works would not negatively affect the character or appearance of the conservation area are preserved.

Applicant/Agent Response: The Reporter confirms that the proposed alterations do not negatively alter the character or appearance of the conservation area.

14. I have considered all matters raised but none lead me to conclude other than that the proposed works would fail to preserve the special interest of the listed building. The appeal is therefore dismissed.

Applicant/Agent Response: Conclusion.

Whilst acknowledging the historic works to the rear of the building have already significantly altered the listed building, as well as confirming that the proposed works, which are not visible from the main street, therefore not impacting the character and setting of the Conservation area, ultimately, the Reporter's decision has been made over the impact that the enlarged left-hand side single dormer has upon the roofscape.

Given that the remainder of the dormer and building extension has been approved by a previous Listed Building Consent and Planning Application, the proposed enlarged dormer arrangement refused by the Reporter only represents an additional loss of 3.5sqm of roof finish – 0.62m wide by 5.64m long.

Jewitt & Wilkie Architects, 14th August 2024. Job No. 3629.

